



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,408	04/19/2004	Viswanathan Lakshmanan	03-2644 81693	4517
24319 7590 12/22/2006 LSI LOGIC CORPORATION 1621 BARBER LANE MS: D-106 MILPITAS, CA 95035			EXAMINER PARIHAR, SUCHIN	
			ART UNIT 2825	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/22/2006	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/828,408

Applicant(s)

LAKSHMANAN ET AL.

Examiner

Suchin Parihar

Art Unit

2825

All Participants:

Status of Application: Rejected

(1) Suchin Parihar (Examiner).

(3) _____.

(2) Henry Groth(Assn't for Eric Whitesell Reg.#38,657).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2006

Time: 5pm EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant to discuss Advisory Action dated 10/17/2006. With respect to the Advisory Action, Examiner clarified that the Applicant's After-Final Amendment filed 9/1/2006 was not entered and that all claims 1-10 stand rejected, which the Advisory Action failed to indicate properly. Applicant acknowledged the correction.



Suchin Parihar
Examiner
AU 2825
571-272-6210